IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

ECCUED DITANE TONES #1056494	§	
FOSTER DUANE JONES, #1056434,	8 8	
Plaintiff,	\$ §	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:20-cv-431-JDK-KNM
	§	
TDCJ,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Foster Duane Jones, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice inmate proceeding pro se, filed this pro se civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On March 31, 2022, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the Court dismiss this case without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with Court orders and to prosecute his case. Docket No. 14. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff at his last-known address. The docket reflects that Plaintiff received a copy of the Report on April 6, 2022. Docket No. 15. To date, however, no objections have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court

examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 14) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. Further, in

accord with the Report, the statute of limitations is hereby **SUSPENDED** for a period

of sixty days from entry of final judgment.

Signed this

May 1, 2022

JER**G**MY D**KERNODLE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2